



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/058,838	01/28/2002	Narendra S. Khandekar	42390P13589	3655	
8791 7590 03/24/2004 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			PEIKARI, BEHZAD		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		VERTIFIED OIL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2186	5	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ــا
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/058,838	KHANDEKAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	B. James Peikari	2186	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	anuary 2002.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	03 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
·	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summan	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/058,838

Art Unit: 2186

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because many of the phrases used are not self-explanatory. Further explanation is required.

For example, "flag transitions", "rank select signal" and "data trigger scheme" have not been adequately explained. Also, "heavily loaded configurations" is an unexplained phrase.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1-26 are objected to because of the presence of numerous informalities.

  The following are some examples taken from only the first chain of claims:
  - (a) In claim 1, line 2, "coupled" should replace "to couple".
  - (b) In claim 1, line 6, "the" should be inserted between "on" and "timing".
  - (c) In claim 1, line 8, "assertion of" should be inserted between "to" and "the".
- (d) Claim 4 should be rewritten to recite "the flag signal indicates the timing of the data transfer by positive and negative transitions of the flag signal".
- (e) In claim 5, line 2, "dynamic random access memory (DRAM)" should replace "dynamic-random-access-memory, DRAM".

Similar errors exist throughout the remaining claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,838

Art Unit: 2186

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following are some examples taken from only the first claim chain:
  - (a) In claim 1, line 6 mentions "coupled" but fails to explain coupled to what. Explanation is required to permit understanding of the connectivity and function of the invention.
  - (b) In claim 1, lines 6-7 are confusing shouldn't the logic unit generate a command occurrence signal based on the timing of the command signal, instead of the timing of the chip select signals which are generated at an undisclosed time (after?) in response to the command signal?
  - (c) In claim 1, line 7, the antecedent basis of *plural* chip select signals is unclear.
  - (d) In claim 1, line 7, it is also unclear why the plural chip select signals are necessary to select one particular memory device.
  - (e) In claim 1, line 7, the antecedent basis of *plural* command signals is unclear.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/058,838 Page 4

Art Unit: 2186

(f) In claim 1, lines 6-8, how is the command occurrence signal "based on timing of chip select signals" if it is asserted *before* the chip select signals? This is a contradiction that must be resolved.

- (g) In claim 1, lines 7-9, the timing is vague and confusing the language suggests that the command occurrence signal is asserted prior to the chip select signals to allow a flag signal to complete a data transfer, however, the data transfer cannot be completed prior to the chip select signals, because the memory from/to which to transfer data would not have been selected.
- (h) In claim 2, lines 1-2, "chip select signal" has unclear antecedent basis, i.e., is it the signal in claim 1, line 4, or one of the signals in claim 1, line 7?
- (i) In claim 3, lines 1-2, it is unclear how long "after" the table entry is actually cleared. Explanation is required to permit understanding of the connectivity and function of the invention. Also, does this language of lines 1 and 2 mean that the flag signal is always the last of the three signals to be received by the table entry?

Similar errors exist throughout the remaining claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,838 Page 5

Art Unit: 2186

6. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any one of Caceres et al., U.S. 5,802,554, or Baines et al., U.S. 5,678,009, or Marisetty, U.S. 5,666,521.

To the extent that the claims can be understood and interpreted by the examiner in view of the lack of clarity and distinctness described in section 4 of this Office action, claims 1-29 are believed to be taught by any one of the references cited above, since each of these teach a data transfer mechanism from a memory, wherein the memory is a DRAM, including a mechanism to initiate the transfer (i.e., a command or trigger) and a mechanism to complete the transfer, memory selection, and timing.

Until the deficiencies noted in section 4 have been corrected, the claims will be given the broad interpretation described above and each of the cited references is deemed to teach that broad interpretation.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (703) 305-3824. The examiner is generally available between 8:00 am and 9:30 pm, EST, Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,838

Art Unit: 2186

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim, can be reached at (703) 305-3821.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (Official communications)

or:

(703) 746-7240 (for Informal or Draft communications)

or:

(703) 746-7238 (for After-Final communications)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner Art Unit 2186

3/17/04